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I have borrowed the concept of brutalism from architectural thought, though in my view, the category is an eminently political one. How could it be otherwise, for there is in architecture an element that is political from the outset—the politics of materials, inert or otherwise, that are sometimes presumed to be indestructible. Conversely, what is politics if not a capture performed on elements of all orders, to which one strives to give a form, if needed through the use of force, an exercise in torsion and restructuring if ever there was one?

Second, architecture is political insofar as it inevitably sets into motion a tension, or, as it were, a redistribution of the force factor between acts of demolition and acts of construction, often from what one might call building blocks. Politics is, in turn, an instrumented practice, a work of assembling and organizing, forming and redistributing, including spatially, of living bodily—but for the most part immaterial—ensembles. Indeed, it is at the point of juncture of materials, the immaterial, and corporeality that we ought to locate brutalism.

Situated at the point of articulation between materials, corporeality, and the immaterial, architecture and politics are not only part of the world of symbols and language. They are also constitutive of the technical world, the world of objects and bodies, and above all, of divisions (découpages), of that which must be cut into or out, weakened and molded, forged and erected, in short, verticalized and thereby set going. Their point of intervention is the material zone as a region of the living, this incandescent crossroads of intensities whose raw materiality, in the figure of fire, concrete, lead, or steel, is the fillip that immediately dismisses the old oppositions
between, on the one hand, a world of the spirit and the soul and, on the other hand, a world of objects. It is this raw material that is subjected to the metamorphic processes of forcing and crushing, ransacking, incision, dissection, and if necessary, mutilation.

Architecture and politics thus concern the orderly arranging of materials and bodies. They deal in quantities, volumes, expanses, and measures as well as in distributing and modulating force and energy. One of brutalism's concrete traces is the erection of the vertical into a privileged position, whether this case is carried out on bodies or on materials. But architecture and politics are mostly about working with, against, on, over, and through elements.

In this book, I invoke the notion of brutalism to describe an age gripped by the planetary-scale pathos of demolition and production of stocks of darkness, in addition to all sorts of waste, leftovers, traces of a gigantic demiurgy. It is not a matter of writing the sociology or political economy of brutalization, and even less so of outlining a historical picture of it. Nor is it a matter of looking into the violence, or forms of cruelty and sadism, in general, that tyranny has produced. Making use of the extraordinary wealth of already available socio-ethnographic material (to which I shall refer liberally in the reference notes), the objective is to make cross sections, thus enabling a fresco to be painted, so that questions can be raised differently and, above all, to say a word on what is specific to this age, which has been given many names, and in which three central lines of questioning dominate: calculation in its computational form; the economy in its neurobiological form; and the living beset by a process of carbonization.

At the core of these questions are transformations of the human body and, more generally, the future of “populations” and the technological mutation of species, human or otherwise. Yet the harm and injuries that these shifts cause are not accidental or simply collateral damage. If, in fact, humanity has been transformed into a geological force, then we cannot speak of history as such. All history, including the history of power, is now, by definition, geo-history. By brutalism, I thus refer to the process through which power as a geomorphic force is constituted, expressed, reconfigured, and reproduced through acts of fracturing and fissuring. I also have in mind the molecular and chemical dimension of this process. Is toxicity, or the multiplication of chemical substances and dangerous waste, not a structural dimension of the present? These substances and types of waste (including
By means of these political techniques of fracturing and fissuring, power is re-creating not only the human but the species. The manner in which it endeavors to give form (anew) to, or to transform into new species, is treated similarly to that which one utilizes when attacking rocks and schists, dynamiting them for the purposes of gas and energy extraction. Thus seen, contemporary powers more than ever have the function of making extraction possible. For this to occur, an intensification of repression is required. Part of it involves boring bodies and minds. The law—as the state of exception becomes the norm and the state of emergency, permanent—is being maximally used to multiply states of lawlessness and to dismantle all forms of resistance.

We ought to add to the logics of fracturing and fissuring those of exhaustion and depletion. Once again, fracturing, fissuring, and depletion concern not only resources but also living bodies that are exposed to physical exhaustion and to all sorts of sometimes invisible biological risks (acute poisoning, cancers, congenital anomalies, neurological disturbances, hormonal disruptions). The living in its entirety is, reduced to a layer and a surface, undergoing seismic threats. The reflections that follow aim precisely at this dialectic of demolition and “destructive creation,” insofar as it targets human bodies, nerves, blood, and brains, just as it does the entrails of time and the Earth. Brutalism is the name given to this gigantic process of eviction and evacuation as well as to the draining of ves- sels and emptying of organic substances.

Through this name (brutalism), the effort is to provide what might be called a thought-image. The aim is to paint the contours of a primordial (matrix) scene, or at least a backdrop against which a myriad of situations, histories, and actors stand out. Yet regardless of these differences, and beyond particular identities, fracturing, and fissuring, processes of draining and depletion obey the same master code: the universalization of the black condition, the becoming-black of a very large swathe of humanity—a humanity that henceforth faces excessive losses and a profound syndrome of exhaustion of its organic capacities.

This question of reserves of darkness and, consequently, of figures of time and power has haunted me since at least the last quarter of the
twentieth century. In my thinking, it has always been bound up with the question of what we have become, what we might have been able to accomplish, and what we might have been—whether Africa, the planet, humanity, or the living, more generally. Far from yielding to melancholy, it is a matter of laying the foundations for a critique of the relationship between memory, potentiality, and futurity.

The issue has been to understand why all that which circulates, all that which goes by, beginning with time’s passing, remains the ultimate stake for all power. All power indeed dreams, if not to make itself time, at least to annex it and colonize its intrinsic properties. In its abstraction, is the specificity of time not its being inexhaustible, objectively incalculable and, above all, inappropriable? Further still, it is indestructible. It is perhaps this last property—indestructibility—that fascinates power in the last instance. This is why all power, in its essence, aspires to make itself time or, at least, to ingest its qualities. At the same time, power is, from beginning to end, a technique of instrumentation and construction. It needs putty, concrete, cement, mortar, beams or girders, stones to crush, lead, steel—and bodies made of bones, flesh, blood, muscles, and nerves. Demolition is truly a gigantic task.

These practices of demolition, breaking, stone throwing, ransacking, and crushing lie at the core of brutalism in its political meaning. They are not the exact equivalents of devoration, autophagy, or cannibalism (regardless of the definition given to these terms) that are habitually located in ancient or primitive societies. Driven simultaneously by old machines and by the most advanced computational technologies, they are profoundly futurist and have come to bear a singular weight on the Earth’s future. They have a geological, molecular, and neurological dimension.

I became aware of this as I was writing the present book: some of my reflections over the last quarter of the twentieth century have focused on the practice and experience of power as an exercise in the demolition of beings, things, dreams, and life in the modern African context. I was struck by the amount of energy devoted, especially at the bottom of the social ladder, to interminable acts of patching up, or even repairing of that which has been broken, or simply engulfed by rust, left in a state of prolonged abandonment.

It slowly dawned on me that many practices of demolition had nothing accidental about them. In many cases, we were coming up against modes
of regulating living things that functioned by increasing the number of apparently intolerable situations, sometimes absurd and inextricable, often unbearable. This was because such contexts were ruled by the law of impossibility and demolition. As my work became subject to multiple reappropriations in various contexts, what at first appeared to me as a feature of what I was calling the postcolony began to lose its singularity. I understood that this plot had a scope far broader than the African continent. The latter was, in truth, only a laboratory of mutations of a planetary order. Since then, I, along with others, have set down to work on this planetary turn of the African predicate and its counterpart, the African future of the world.

The age truly is one of the forge and the hammer, ember and anvil, the blacksmith being perhaps the last avatar of the great historical subjects. A vast enterprise of occupying territories, of seizing hold of bodies and imaginaries, and of disassembly, unlinking, and demolition is underway. It is leading, pretty much everywhere, to “states of emergency” or “exception” that are expeditiously extended and made permanent. Contemporary modalities of demolition are crystallizing, profoundly putting into question the classic dichotomies form/matter, matter/materials, material/immaterial, natural/artificial, and end/means. A logic of permutations, convergences, and multiple conversions has replaced that of oppositions. Matter is no longer fundamentally available and docile. It is there only as co-constituted on the basis of a heterogeneity of matrixes and connections.

A change of age is unquestionably underway, as well as a change of condition engendered through transformations to the biosphere and technosphere. This process, unprecedented in the shocks it is triggering, is planetary. Its goal is to precipitate the mutation of the human species and accelerate its transition to a new condition, at once plastic and synthetic, and consequently also pliable and extensible. To arrange the transition toward a new earthly dispensation (a new nomos of the Earth), society must indeed be abolished, or at least sculpted and eventually replaced by a nanoworld whose devices are cellular, neuronal, and computational. As a world of plastic tissues and synthetic blood, it will be peopled with half-natural, half-artificial bodies and entities. In a final gesture of hybridization of matter and mind, the human must then be repatriated to the junction point of the material, the immaterial, and the incorporeal, as it
effaces, once and for all, the trace of clay that has been inscribed on humanity’s forehead and face ever since the Earth welcomed it on its surface and in its entrails.

Brutalism’s ultimate project is to transform humanity into matter and energy. The focal point of the book bears singularly on the monumentality of this project. The undertaking is vast, since it is not only the architecture of the world that must be remodeled but the tissue of the living itself and its diverse membranes. It is clear, then, that the reflections contained in this book essentially make up a long argument for a new planetary consciousness and the refounding of a community of humans in solidarity with the living in its entirety. Without a struggle, no belonging to a common soil, tangible, palpable, and visible, will occur. But, as Frantz Fanon sensed, authentic struggle is, in its primacy, a matter of reparation, beginning with the repairing of that which has been broken.
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INTRODUCTION

No matter how much we pretend that technological acceleration and transitioning to a computational civilization can pave the way to salvation anew, in truth, it is as if the short history of humanity on Earth has already been consummated.¹ Time itself might have lost all potentiality. With the system of nature now so out of kilter, it might be left to us merely to contemplate the world’s end.² The task of thought then consists simply in announcing it. Hence the current rise to power of all sorts of eschatological narratives and the discourse of collapsology.

Combustion of the World

Collapsology truly risks dominating the decades ahead. Multiple anxieties backdrop its spread. On the one hand, the predatory reflexes that marked the first phases of capitalism’s development are being honed everywhere, as the machine wrests free from all moorings or arbitration and seizes the living itself as its raw material.³ On the other hand, from the point of view of the production of signs that speak to the future, we keep going round in circles. In the North in particular, old imperialist impulses now combine with nostalgia and melancholy.⁴ This is because, stricken with moral lassitude and boredom, the center is now being irremediably gnawed at by the aggravated desire for a border and the fear of collapse. For this reason, we see barely disguised calls not for conquest as such, but for secession.⁵

If the mood is one of withdrawal and closure, it is partly because we no longer believe in the future.⁶ With time having exploded, and duration having been evacuated, all that counts now is emergency.⁷ The Earth is
held to be irremediably contaminated. We no longer expect anything, except the end itself. Besides, the norm, our common condition is more and more one of the living of life at the extremes. The concentration of capital in the hands of the few has never before attained the astronomical levels that it has today. On a planetary scale, a devouring plutocracy has never stopped playing here and elsewhere at capturing and sequestering humanity’s goods and, soon, all living resources.

At the same time, the heightened risk of a dizzying loss of social condition affects entire strata of society. Until quite recently, such strata had had the possibility of changing status and experiencing upward mobility. Now that the race to the bottom runs at full tilt, however, they are confined to a struggle to hold on to, and possibly to secure, what little is left to them. Yet, instead of blaming their setbacks on the system that causes them, they shift the threat of impoverishment wielded against them onto others more unfortunate than they, others who have already been denuded of their material existence, and they call for those who have already been stripped of almost everything to have even greater brutality meted out on them.

This desire for violence and endogamy, together with the rise in forms of anxiety, takes place against the background of the awareness of our spatial finitude, which is much keener than ever before. The Earth is indeed contracting continually. In itself a finite system, it has reached its limits. The division between life and nonlife is all the more telling. Living bodies exist only in relation to the biosphere, of which they are an integral component. The biosphere is not only a physical, organic, geological, vegetal, or atmospheric reality. As many scientists are rediscovering, it is also interwoven with noumenal realities that lie at the source of existential meaning. Some will come up against this experience of limits before others do. As a matter of fact, for many regions of the South, having to re-create the living from the unlivable is already a centuries-long condition.

What is new is that the ordeal is one these regions now share with many others, others that no wall, border, bubble, or enclave will be able to protect in the future.

The experience of the world’s combustion and the headlong rush toward extremes is manifest not only in the vertiginous depletion of natural resources, fossil energies, or metals that support the material infrastructure of our existence. It is also manifest, and in toxic form, in the water we drink, in the food we eat, in the technosphere, and even in the air we
breathe.\textsuperscript{16} It occurs in the transformations undergone by the biosphere, as evidenced by phenomena such as ocean acidification, rising water levels, the destruction of complex ecosystems—in short, climate change—and the flight reflex and hastened path to exodus of those whose living environments have been wrecked. In fact, the very food system of the Earth is impacted, and perhaps thus also is the ability of humans to make history with other species.

Even our conception of time is called into question.\textsuperscript{17} While speeds continue to explode, and distances to be conquered, the concrete time of the flesh and breath of the world, and that of the aging Sun, is no longer infinitely extensible.\textsuperscript{18} The countdown has begun.\textsuperscript{19} Ours is an age of planetary combustion. An emergency is thus upon us. Yet many peoples of this Earth have already known the reality of this emergency, fragility, and vulnerability—of the ordeal facing us—peoples who have had diverse disasters inflicted on them, disasters that have marked their histories with exterminations and other genocides, with massacres and dispossession, with slave raids, forced displacements, confinement in reserves, carceral landscapes, colonial ravages, and skeletal remainders along mined frontiers.\textsuperscript{20}

The possibility of a generic rupture thus hovers over the membrane of the world, subjected as it is to corrosive radioactivity.\textsuperscript{21} This possibility is fostered, on the one hand, by today’s technological escalation and the intensification of what I am here calling \textit{brutalism}, and on the other, by logics of combustion and the slow, indefinite production of all kinds of ash clouds, acid rains, and so on—in short, of all the ruins among which those whose worlds have collapsed are forced to live.\textsuperscript{22} Strictly speaking, the age of the world’s combustion is a posthistorical age.\textsuperscript{23} The prospect of this event has seen reruns of old races, starting with that to redistribute the Earth, to partition it anew. Old nightmares have also resurfaced, above all the human race’s division into different species and varieties, each marked by their supposedly irreconcilable specificities.\textsuperscript{24}

This is perhaps what explains the revival, on a planetary scale, of the desire for endogamy and of the kind of selection and sorting practices that stamped the history of slavery and colonization—two moments of rupture borne by the storm of steel and fed by the fuel that racism has been for modernity.\textsuperscript{25} Unlike in those times, the selection drive is now based on manifold forms of nanotechnology.\textsuperscript{26} It is no longer simply about machines.
This time it concerns something even more gigantic, something apparently limitless, that stands at the juncture of computation, cells, and neurons, and which seems to defy the very experience of thought. Technology has become biology and neurology. It has become a figurative reality, shaking up all humans’ fundamental relations with the world.

Everything is converging on an unprecedented unification of the planet. The old world of bodies and distances, of matter and expanses, of spaces and borders still persists, but through its metamorphosis. Further still, the now transformed horizon of calculation continues to see a spectacular return of animism, a cult of the self and of objects, while the almost indefinite extension of logics of quantification is leading to an unexpected acceleration of humanity’s becoming artificial. This becoming-artificial of humanity and its counterpart, the becoming-human of objects and machines, may well constitute the real substance of what some today call the “great replacement.”

Brutalism is the proper name for this apotheosis of a form of power without external limits or an outside, which dismisses both the myth of exit and that of another world to come. In concrete terms, what characterizes brutalism is the tight interweaving of several figures of reason: economic and instrumental reason; electronic and digital reason; and neurological and biological reason. Brutalism is based on the deep conviction that the distinction between the living and machines no longer exists. Matter, in the last instance, is the machine, which today means the computer taken broadly—the nerves, the brain, and all numinous reality. The spark of the living lies in it. The worlds of matter, machine, and life are henceforth one. As privileged vectors of the neovitalism that fuels neoliberalism, animism and brutalism accompany our transition to a new technical system, one more reticular, more automated, more concrete, and more abstract all at once. Under these conditions, can the Earth and the living be places not only of intellectual provocation, can they also be properly political concepts and events for thought?

The idea of a generic rupture, at once telluric, geological, and almost techno-phenomenal, can be found at the basis of modern Afro-diasporic thought. The idea is particularly manifest in the three currents of Afropessimism, Afrofuturism, and Afropolitanism. A theme impels each of these currents, namely that of the fallen seed that, landing on barren soil, struggles to survive by catching light rays in a hostile environment. Thrown
into an unknown world and confronted with extremes, how can this seed germinate in a place that is so poor and where everything tends to desiccate? What root systems must be developed and what subterranean parts maintained? In each of these three currents of thought, and particularly in Afrofuturism, the invention of a new world is a vibratory act. This act proceeds from what we could call radical imagination. The vibratory act is characterized by its straddling and going beyond the given and its constraints. This is how it participates in technical activity, where such activity is understood as the capacity to actualize, deploy, and manifest a reserve of power.

In these three currents, Africa, beyond all its wounds, is that which will have paradoxically represented this reserve of power, or this power in reserve, as the sole power able to repatriate the human being not to Earth, but to the Cosmos. It is a potentially constitutive power, in its reality as well its form, in its vibrations as well as its matter, since it is liable to open onto an unlimited field of permutations and new structurations. In this essay, then, we set out from the hypothesis that it is on the African continent, the birthplace of humanity, that the question of the Earth is now posed, and is posed in the most unexpected, complex, and paradoxical manner.

In Africa, indeed, the prospects of decline are the most glaring. But it is also where we find the ripest chances for creative metastasis. Many planetary issues related to the question of reparations manifest themselves with the greatest acuity in Africa, starting with the reparation of the living, the persistence and durability of circulating human bodies in movement, of our accompanying objects but also of the part of the object now inseparable from what humanity has become. Africa is, as the Earth’s vibranium (in the sense that others speak about a sensorium), also the place where all the categories that have served to envisage what art, politics, need, ethics, technology, and language are, face the most radical challenge, and where, simultaneously, paradoxical forms of the living emerge ceaselessly.

Moreover, this planetary turn of the African condition and the African-ization of the planetary condition will perhaps constitute the two major philosophical, cultural, and artistic events of the twenty-first century. It is indeed here, in Africa, that the great questions of the century, those that challenge the human race most immediately, are posed with the utmost urgency and acuteness—whether concerning the ongoing planetary repopulation, significant population movements and the imperative to
In Introduction, the future of life and reason, or further, the need to decarbonize the economy. Thanks to Africa’s gigantic animistic reserves, all truly planetary thinking will inevitably have to confront the African sign.

The Pharmakon of the Earth

This is why the expression “African sign” ought to be understood as that which always exceeds what is given to be seen. As it happens, contemporary Afro-diasporic creation is engaged precisely in an attempt to show this excess and this beyond of appearances. It strives to imbue it with a particular energy. On the world stage, Africa is once again an object of intense psychic and oneiric activity, just as it was at the beginning of the twentieth century. From within and among its various diasporas, there is renewed interest in the dream of a nation that stands on its own feet, powerful, and unique among humanity, or of a civilization (the word is not out of place) capable of grafting a futuristic technological core onto thousand-year-old indigenous traditions.

In cinematographic productions, Africa is portrayed as a land that harbors unfathomable riches, an abundance of minerals and raw materials that undoubtedly make it the pharmakon of the Earth. In science fiction, dance, music, and novels, Africa evokes almost telluric rituals of resurrection, as when, on clay or buried under the red ocher soil, the king’s body undertakes its journey to the ancestors, carried by the shadow of Osiris, and begins dialoguing with the dead. In fashion and photography, Africa seizes upon costumes of solar beauty, depicted in a deluge of colors and a tornado of forms.

In gleaming colors, bodies are making their appearance everywhere—from dark blue black to sun black, fire black, brown and yellowish black, clay black, copper and silver black, lunar black, volcanic black, and crater black. These are true hymns to multiplicity, proliferation, and dissemination. And what can we say about matter at the level of dreams and machines that are themselves sculpted in the image of the world of animals, birds, flora, fauna, and an ancient aquatic environment? And, above all, how can we not evoke woman? Is she not ultimately, when it comes to the world’s duration and rebirth, the enigma and the secret alike?

Here in Africa, everything has always been plurally combined. Life itself has always been about learning to put together composite, disparate,
and in a pinch, incompatible elements, then establishing equivalences between them, transforming the one into the other. In addition to this social polytheism, we must also add movement, *circulations*. Its apparently immobile expanses are actually worked by extensive movement, on the surface as well as subterraneously. Even duration is a mobile cut. There is thus a planetary becoming of Africa that forms the counterpart of the planet’s becoming-African. The task of critique is to take this planetarity on board.

But, apart from this, every project to do with repairing the Earth will have to take into account what we, in this essay, call *humanity’s becoming-artificial*. The twenty-first century has indeed begun with a spectacular return to animism. This is no longer the nineteenth-century’s animism, whose expression is modeled on the worship of ancestors; this new form is based instead on the cult of the self and of objects as our multiple doubles. More than ever, these latter constitute the sign par excellence of the unconscious states of our psychic life.

It is through their mediation that experiences of strong emotional intensity are increasingly felt, and what is not directly symbolizable now tends to be expressed. Humanity no longer stands and looks down from above on a system of objects. Humans are now traversed, from one side to the other, by objects that work us as much as we work them. There is a becoming-object of humanity that is the counterpart of the becoming-human of objects. We are the ore that our objects are tasked with extracting. The objects act with us, make us act and, especially, animate us.

Digital technologies have above all made possible the rediscovery of this power of animation as well as this psycho-prosthetic function. As a result, the new animism merges with electronic and algorithmic reason, which is its medium and its envelope, and even its motor. On the political level, this new animism is a knot of paradoxes. Virtualities of emancipation are to be found in its deepest core. It announces—perhaps—the end of dichotomies. But it is also able to serve as a privileged vector of the neovitalism that feeds neoliberalism. The new animist spirit must therefore be critiqued. The aim of this critique would then be to contribute to *protecting the living against the forces of desiccation*. Therein lies the signifying force of the African object in the contemporary world.

This critique, undertaken on the basis of precolonial artifacts, also bears on matter and the mechanical principle itself. To this mechanical principle, the African object opposes that of breathing, as that which is
specific to all forms of life. In fact, African objects have always been the manifestation of what lies beyond matter. While made of matter, they are actually a strident call to overcome and transfigure it. In African systems of thought, the object is a discourse on the beyond of the object. It acts, with other animated forces, within the framework of a regenerative and symbiotic economy. An uncompromising critique of this civilization in the process of immaterialization in which we bathe would gain inspiration from this history and this epistemology. What do these latter teach us if not that life is not sufficient to itself? It is not inexhaustible. Neovitalism asserts that life will always survive all sorts of extreme and even catastrophic situations. We can thus, according to this logic, destroy life as much as we want.

But neovitalism does not know how to live with loss. Within humanity’s ongoing and frantic race to extremes, our shared lot is dispossession and deprivation. It is increasingly likely that that which is being taken is both invaluable and unable ever to be returned. The absence of any possibility of restitution or restoration will perhaps mark the end of the museum, understood not as the extension of a cabinet of curiosities but as the figure par excellence of humanity’s past, one to which it would be a sort of silent witness. The antimuseum alone would remain—not the museum without objects or the fugitive residence of objects without museum, but a kind of attic of the future, whose function would be to welcome what must be born but is not yet there.

To anticipate a potential, as yet unaverted, presence, one that has not yet assumed a stable form, should perhaps be the starting point of any future critique whose horizon is to forge a common ground. It would be a question of starting not from absence, not from what is vacant, but from an anticipatory presence. For, it will be impossible, without this common ground and thus without deborderization, to repair the Earth or set the living back in circulation.
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5 The concept of “technology” is used here in its most stylized sense. We might have remained satisfied with other expressions such as “the technical gesture,” or even “the technological,” just as we say “the political” or “the religious.” On this topic, see André Leroi-Gourhan, *L’homme et la matière*, vol. 1: *Évolution et techniques*, and vol. 2: *Milieu et techniques* (Paris: Albin Michel 1945). The point here is not to grant primacy to an ontological approach to technology. We are cognizant of the fact that if a part of the technological indeed plays out in the power of matter itself, technology comes about only in the different modes of deployment of the aforementioned power, that is, in the way in which it is set in motion by situated actors, through differentiated times and social spaces.


